Variability in Prior Expectations Explains Biases in Confidence Reports

Submission ID 3000092

Submission Type Poster

Topic Cognitive Science

Status Submitted

Submitter Pablo Tano

Affiliation Universidad de Buenos Aires

SUBMISSION DETAILS

Presentation Type Either Poster or Oral Presentation

Presentation Abstract Summary Confidence in a decision is defined statistically as the probability of that decision being correct. Humans, however, tend both to under- and over-estimate their accuracy (and hence, their confidence), as has been exposed in various experiments. Here, we show that this apparent irrationality vanishes when taking into account prior participants' biases measured in a separate task. We use a wagering experiment to show that modeling subjects' choices allows for classifying individuals according to an optimism - pessimism bias that fully explains from first principles the differences in their later confidence reports. Our parameter-free confidence model predicts two counterintuitive patterns for individuals with different prior beliefs: pessimists should report higher confidence than optimists, and their confidences should depend differently on task difficulty. These findings show how apparently irrational confidence traits can be simply understood as differences in prior expectations. Furthermore, we show that reporting confidence actually impacts subsequent choices, increasing the tendency to explore when confidence is low, akin to a deconfirmation bias.

Paper Upload (PDF) Variability in Prior Expectations Explains Biases in Confidence Reports.pdf

Co-author Information

* Presenting Author

First Name	Last Name	Affiliation	E-mail
Pablo *	Tano *	Universidad de Buenos Aires	pablotanoretamales@gma il.com
Florent	Meyniel	NeuroSpin-INSERM	florent.meyniel@gmail.co m
Mariano	Sigman	CONICET - Universidad Torcuato Di Tella	msigman@utdt.edu

Alejo	,	alejo@df.uba.ar
	Buenos Aires	

Keywords

Keywords	
confidence	
Bayesian rationality	
optimism bias	