Fast Brain MRI Segmentation Using a Volumetric Deep Learning Approach
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Abstract

Functional and Structural MRI studies benefit from good segmentation of grey and white matter, for example to allow for cortex-based alignment. Automatic segmentation tools apply (multi-) atlas-based segmentation strategies that often lack the accuracy on difficult-to-segment brain structures and take several hours of processing. Moreover, these algorithms depend on aligning scans and atlases. Alternatively, to avoid this last step, many methods nowadays deploy solutions based on Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), by which the testing volume is partitioned into 2D or 3D patches processed independently. This entails a loss of global contextual information thereby negatively impacting the final accuracy of the segmented structures. To fully exploit global spatial information, we introduce a CNN-based segmentation algorithm that processes the whole MRI volume at once and produces an accurate result in only few seconds starting from a single MRI sequence ($T_1w$). Training and testing are performed on 947 out-of-the-scanner MRI volumes acquired using a standard 1mm-isotropic MPRAGE sequence (3T). Results are evaluated using the Dice Similarity Coefficient and the Hausdorff Distance. The comparison with the state of the art shows that our method outperforms any other current CNN-based solution.
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Introduction

When dealing with brain MRI, segmentation plays a role of fundamental importance. It is an essential step for many MRI analyses, for example in the diagnosis of many pathologies, and it is an early step in functional MRI (fMRI) study pipelines. To reduce the human time consumption needed for a manual segmentation process, different fully automated pipelines have been developed (Despotović, Goossens, & Philips, 2015). The vast majority of these tools apply an atlas-based (or multi-atlas-based) segmentation strategy (Cabezas, Oliver, Lladó, Freixenet, & Bach Cuadra, 2011), in which a target volume is registered with one or several templates built from manual annotations. However, due to the high inter-subject brain variability, these procedures often lack of segmentation accuracy on brain structure or tissue boundaries
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Methods

Model Architecture

Aiming at exploiting at best the global spatial information contained in MRI data, we design a deep convolutional neural network able to tackle the brain segmentation problem in a volumetric manner - which we will refer to as “fully-volumetric”. This is accomplished exploiting an end-to-end encoding-decoding structure, where only convolutional blocks...
are used. This delivers a whole brain MRI segmentation in \sim 5-10 seconds on a desktop GPU. The model architecture and the proposed learning framework are shown in Figure 1.

Inspired by Ronneberger, Fischer, and Brox (2015) and Çiçek, Abdulkadir, Lienkamp, Brox, and Ronneberger (2016), we propose a deep encoder-decoder architecture with six 3D convolutional blocks, arranged in increasing number on three layers. Since a whole volume is considered as an input, the feature maps extracted by such convolutional blocks are not limited to patches but span across the entire volume. This allows each block to capture the content of the whole brain MRI, greatly increasing the amount of context provided to each subsequent block, thus supporting the learning of both local and global spatial features. Moreover, instead of max-pooling, convolutions with stride are used as a dimensionality reduction method - allowing the network to learn the optimal downsampling strategy starting from the extracted features. Finally, skip connections are used along with tensorial sum (instead of concatenation) to improve the quality of the segmented volume, while limiting significantly the number of parameters (Quan, Hildebrand, & Jeong, 2016).

Training and Testing Data

We evaluate our model’s performance on 947 out-of-the-scanner volumes (i.e., reconstructed DICOM images) collected in more than 10 years by the Centre for Cognitive Neuroimaging (CCNI, Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology, University of Glasgow, UK) using a T1-weighted (T1w) 1 – mm isotropic MPRAGE protocol. We use 9\(\times\)\(\times\) of these volumes for training purposes, \(\frac{1}{10}\) for validation and the remaining as test set. Manually annotating such a large database would prove exceptionally time-consuming. However, several works report that labels obtained using automated pipelines can be exploited to train models that perform the same (Rajchl et al., 2018), or even better (Roy et al., 2018), than the automated pipeline itself. For this reason, we train our model on automatic segmentations obtained by FreeSurfer (Fischl, 2012). Focusing on the requirements of most real case scenarios, we relabel the data following the seven classes considered in the MICCAI MRBrainS13 (Mendrik et al., 2015) and MRBrainS18 challenges, i.e., grey matter, basal ganglia, white matter, cerebrospinal fluid, ventricles, cerebellum and brainstem. Each training pair is therefore composed by the unprocessed MRI scan, a set of raw images turned into a neck-cropped NIfTI volume using dcm2niix (Li, Morgan, Ashburner, Smith, & Rorden, 2016) - and the result of the FreeSurfer cortical reconstruction process recon-all after the aforementioned relabelling.

Results

We compare the proposed method with other CNN-based solutions: the well-known 2D-patch-based U-Net (Ronneberger et al., 2015), its 3D variant (Çiçek et al., 2016), and the state-of-the-art architecture QuickNAT (Roy et al., 2018) - which leverages the aggregation of three slightly modified U-Net architectures (trained on coronal, sagittal, and axial MRI slices, respectively).

The learning effectiveness of the models is quantitatively evaluated exploiting Dice Coefficient and 95th percentile Hausdorff Distance (Figure 2), using FreeSurfer segmentation as a reference. The comparison shows that our model, despite provided with far less parameters, outperforms the others in terms of both metrics - especially in the case of structures such as basal ganglia and ventricles, whose segmentation becomes harder if conducted on patches (due to their similarity to other structures or tissues). Moreover, we evaluate our model generalisation capability by comparing the obtained segmentation against the FreeSurfer ground truth our method is trained on. In most cases (some of which are reported in Figure 3), and in absence of systematic errors in the training ground truth, our model qualitatively outperforms FreeSurfer atlas-based segmentation.

Conclusion

In this work, we trained and tested a CNN tackling the brain MRI segmentation problem in a fully-volumetric fashion. Ex-
Figure 2: Dice Similarity Coefficient and 95\textsuperscript{th} percentile Hausdorff Distance computed with respect to FreeSurfer segmentation (test set). 2D-patch-based methods - trained respectively on longitudinal, sagittal and coronal view - are coloured in red, green, blue; the view-aggregation method is coloured in light grey, the 3D-patch-based in pink, and the proposed method in orange.

Figure 3: Raw T1\textsubscript{w} scan (left), FreeSurfer segmentation (middle), and the result produced by our model (right) - sagittal, coronal, and longitudinal view, respectively. Cases of white matter over-segmentation are highlighted by yellow circles, while cases of white matter under-segmentation are highlighted by turquoise circles. The proposed method outperforms FreeSurfer atlas-based segmentation in terms of accuracy (best viewed in electronic format).
Exploiting Dice Similarity Coefficient and 95th percentile Hausdorff Distance, we have shown that such model outperforms the state of the art in terms of learning effectiveness. Moreover, we proved our model strong generalisation capability by qualitatively assessing its superior performance with respect to the data it was trained on (FreeSurfer atlas-based segmentation).
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